







#### Contents

- Personal reminiscence
- Technical reminiscence
- IACR history
- Vision of a possible future





## **Thinking points**

- Social consequences?
- Economic implications?
- New business models?







# **BM Research** 1967

# PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE

**KENNETH E. IVERSON** 



#### The 1970s







#### **1975 -- Microprocessors**



JUNE 1975



### **1976 -- New Directions**

- public key-distribution
- public-key cryptography
- digital signatures





Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 46

1977 January 15

SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE

#### DATA ENCRYPTION STANDARD

The Data Encryption Standard (DES) shall consist of the following Data Encryption Algorithm to be implemented in special purpose electronic devices. These devices shall be designed in such a way that they may be used in a computer system or network to provide cryptographic protection to binary coded data. The method of implementation will depend on the application and environment. The devices shall be implemented in such a way that they may be tested and validated as accurately performing the transformations specified in the following algorithm.

#### DATA ENCRYPTION ALGORITHM

#### Introduction

The algorithm is designed to encipher and decipher blocks of data consisting of 64 bits under control of a 64-bit key. Deciphering must be accomplished by using the same key as for enciphering, but with the schedule of addressing the key bits altered so that the deciphering process is the reverse of the enciphering process. A block to be enciphered is subjected to an initial permutation IP, then to a complex key-dependent computation and finally to a permutation which is the inverse of the initial permutation  $IP^{-1}$ . The key-dependent computation can be simply defined in terms of a function f, called the cipher function, and a function KS, called the key schedule. A description of the computation is given first, along with details as to how the algorithm is used for encipherment. Next, the use of the algorithm for decipherment is described. Finally, a definition of the cipher function F is given in terms of primitive functions which are called the selection functions  $S_i$  and the permutation function P.  $S_k P$  and KS of the algorithm are contained in the Appendix.

The following notation is convenient: Given two blocks L and R of bits, LR denotes the block consisting of the bits of L followed by the bits of R. Since concatenation is associative  $B_1B_2...B_n$ , for example, denotes the block consisting of the bits of  $B_1$  followed by the bits of  $B_2...$  followed by the bits of  $B_3$ .

#### Enciphering

A sketch of the enciphering computation is given in figure 1.



FIPS PUB 46

#### 1978 -- RSA

*p*,*q* prime

n = pq

 $ed \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1)(q-1)$ 

 $C = M^e \mod n$ 

 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{C}^d \bmod n$ 



# **Cryptography Everywhere**

**Tom Berson** 

Anagram Laboratories and Xerox PARC 2 December 2000



#### 1980



MARTIN E. HELLMAN



THOMAS A. BERSON



# Crypto '81



Organizers: Allen Gersho Len Adelman Whit Diffie Martin Hellman Dick Kemmerer Alan Konheim Ray Pickholtz Brian Schanning Gus Simmons Steve Weinstein





Fig. 1. DES is shown as a pipeline to illustrate the relationship between the Kn and the Rn. Also shown are the proposed placements of KR, a 768-bit key shift register and of WK, a key strength measurement function.

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between the rounds of DES (R1-R16) and the key (K1-K16). It assumes that all Kn are precomputed and stored in a key register KR. KR is shown as a shift register, although other organizations are also possible (e.g. an array of 16 48-bit words). If the Kn are not stored internally then the same effect can be gained by requiring input of the each Kn at its appropriate round. This may lead to serious timing problems.

No matter how KR is organized, the making of KR (and thereby the Kn) directly accessible allows the user to bypass the standard KS and insert any 768 bits of key.



UUL 11 977

J. A. Meyer 5600 Namakagan Rd. Bethesda, Md. 20016

7 July 77

Nr. E. K. Gannet Staff Secretary, IEEE Publications Roard IEEE Nq. 345 East 47th Street New York, N.Y. 10017

Dear Mr. Gannet,

I have noticed in the past months that various IEEE Groups have been publishing and exporting technical articles on encryption and cryptology ---a technical field which is covered by Federal Regulations, vizi ITAR (International Traffic in Arms Regulations, 22 CFR 121-128). I assume that the IEEE Groups are unfamiliar with the ITAR, which apply to publication and export of unclassified as well as classified exchanged data, and I thought 1 you'd draw your attention to them. I have enclosed a few pages of the transhich are pertinent.

The key points of ITAR are that unclassified technical data are covered (22 CFP 125.01). All forms of export, including publications and symposia, are povered (22 CFR 125.03). Licences are required unless the material is exempted (22 CFR 125.04). Prior approval by a cognizant government egency is required before publication within the U.S. ( 22 CFR 125.11, footnote 3). Encryption and cryptologic and related systems are covered by ITAR (Categories X1(c), XIII(b)). The regulations are issued under law and hunce have force of law (Mutual Security Act of 1954, Section 414 - 22 USC 1934).

Although ITAN covers a very wide range of weapons technologies, atomic weapons and cryptology are also covered by special secrecy laws ( 42 USC 2274-77 and 18 USC 798), an indication of the importance of these technologies.

The June 1977 Information Theory Group Newsletter contains minutes of a meeting 19 Oct 75 at which it was proposed that the IT Group becomes advisor to May on cryptologic secrecy and security, and this less to a call for papers on encryption for the 1977 International symposium at Ithica. The reason given was that NBS had only one Edderal agency to refer to for cryptologic advice. However, Executive Order IT905 defined that consolidation as government policy. The IT Group seems active in cryptology, and have published several papers in the Nov 76 and May 77 <u>Transactions-IT</u>. The June 1977 issue of <u>Computer</u> also had an article in the same technologic area. One of the papers was presented at an IEEE symposium at Ronneby, Sweden. Several papers on encryption were given at ICC-77. A paper on speech scramblers was given at a VTG meeting at Orlando, this Spring. Another paper on speech scramblers is scheduled for the Cybernetics meeting in September in Vasbington, D.C. The INTERNET of Execution The Cybernetics meeting in September in Oscober 1977 uit have papers, Prof. Ephremides, declared on page 7 of the June 77 Trof newsletter (enclosed) that he would forward preprints of new work directly to the USSR in accord with an IEEL-USSR agreement. If any technical papers on emcryption or cryptology are sent to USSR before they have been published,



J. A. Meyer

5500 Kanakagan Rd. Bethesda, Md. 20016

7 July 77

Nr. E. K. Gannet Staff Secretary, IEEE Publications Roard IEEE Hq. 345 East 47th Street New York, N.Y. 10017

Dear Mr. Gannet,

I have noticed to the past months that various IEEE Groups have been publishing and exporting technical articles on encryption and cryptology ---a exchnical field which is covered by Federal Regulations, vizi ITAR

The key points of ITAR are that unclassified technical data are covered (22 CFB 125.01). All forms of export, including publications and symposia, are covered (22 CFR 125.03). Licences are required unless the material is exempted (22 CFR 125.04). Prior approval by a cognizant government agency is required before publication within the U.S. (22 CFR 125.11, footnote 3). Encryption and cryptologic and related systems are covered by ITAR (Categories XI(c), XIII(b)). The regulations are issued under law and hence have force of law (Mutual Security Act of 1954, Section 414 = 22 USC 1934).

Although ITAR covers a very wide range of weapons technologies, atomic weapons and cryptology are also covered by special secrecy laws ( 42 USC 2274-77 and 18 USC 798), an indication of the importance of these technologies.

The reason given was that NBS had only one federal agency to refer to for thetelogic advice. However, Executive Order [1905 defined that consolidation as government policy. The IT Group seems active in cryptology, and have published acvernments in the Nov 76 and May 77 <u>Transactions-IT</u>. The June 1977 issue of <u>Computer</u> around an article in the same technologic area One of the papers was presented at an IEEE symposium at Monthery Sweden. Several papers on encryption were given at ICC-77. A paper on speech scramblers was given at a VTG meeting at Orlando, this Spring. Another paper on speech scramblers is scheduled for the Cybernetics meeting in September in Washington. 1977 will have fact of the the speech on the Second of the June 17 Tro newsletter (enclosed) that he would forward preprints of new work directly to the USSR in accord with an IEEE-USSR before they have been published,

















Key use and ALCORITHY SELECTION







#### **1983 -- Were PKCs secure?**

- DH knapsack broken
- Much factoring work
- Other candidates



SANTA BARBARA . SANTA CRUZ

# **ACR founded** 982/83

#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

BERKELEY . DAVIS . IRVINE . LOS ANGELES . RIVERSIDE . SAN DIECO . SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106

March 5, 1983

Tom Berson SYTEK, Inc. 1153 Bordeaux Dr. Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Dear Tom,

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

It is my pleasure once again to thank you for all your help in making CRYPTC 82 such a success!

You will be pleased to know that Alan Sherman and Ron Rivest have just sent the proceedings to the publisher. Plenum promised a maximum of <u>90 days turn around</u>.

The planning committee nominated during the conference met after lunch on the final day. A working title was adopted: "International Association for Cryptologic Research." Those at the meeting also decided that the organization should sponsor a meeting in Europe this March, and CRYPTO 83 in Santa Barbara in August 83. The EUROCRYPT 83 meeting will be taking place shortly.

I was to be responsible for getting CRYPTO 83 started. In view of this, and my role as general chairman for CRYPTO 82, I asked Alan Konhiem to be general chairman for CRYPTO 83. (I had already made the necessary reservations.) He has contacted Neil Sloane, who has agreed to be program chairman.

As you may know, the official sponsorship of CRYPTO 82 remains a confused matter. CRYPTO 83 will be sponsored by the organization and the Computer Science Department. Some progress is being made in trying to roll over the surplus funds (approximatley 3k) for use by CRYPTO 83. I expect that the attendees at CRYPTO 83 will vote to have the CRYPTO n series continue to be sponsored by the organization.



#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIECO · SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106

March 5, 1983

Tom Berson SYTEK, Inc. 1153 Bordeaux Dr. Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Dear Tom,

It is my pleasure once again to thank you for all your help in making CRYPTC 82 such a success!

The planning committee nominated during the conference met after lunch on the final day. A working title was adopted: "International Association for Cryptologic Research." Those at the meeting also decided that the organization should sponsor a meeting in Europe this March, and CRYPTO 83 in Santa Barbara in August 83. The EUROCRYPT 83 meeting will be taking place shortly.

> this, and my role as general chairman for CRYPTO 82, I asked Alan Konment to be general chairman for CRYPTO 83. (I had already made the necessary reservations.) He had contacted Neil Stoare, who has agreed to be program chairman.

As you may know, the official sponsorship of CRYPTO 82 remains a confused matter. CRYPTO 83 will be sponsored by the organization and the Computer Science Department. Some progress is being made in trying to roll over the surplus funds (approximatley 3k) for use by CRYPTO 83. I expect that the attendees at CRYPTO 83 will vote to have the CRYPTO n series continue to be sponsored by the organization.



# International Association for Cryptologic Research, Inc.

- "To promote research in cryptology"
- Nevada Corporation 16 June 1983
- Directors:
  - Ernest Brickell, David Chaum, Whitfield Diffie, Robert Jueneman, Denning, David Kahn, Stephen Kent
- Paranoid bylaws initially
- US tax-exempt scientific organization





# Crypto

- Crypto '81-- UCSB
- Crypto '82
- ➡ Crypto '83
  - Organizers: Alan Konheim, Neil Sloan, David Chaum, Paul Eggert, Whit Diffie, Selim Akl, Henry Beker, Tom Berson, Dorothy Denning, Allen Gersho, John Gordon, Robert Juneman, Gus Simmons





### Eurocrypt

- April '81 -- Burg Feuerstein, Germany
- ➡ Eurocrypt '83 -- Udine, Italy
- Organizers
  - Henry Beker, Thomas Beth, David Chaum, John Gordon, Guiseppe Longho, Fred Piper



#### 1982 -- IACR had 102 members



from 9 nations









# Remembering Kenji Koyama





## Asiacrypt



- Asiacrypt '91 -- Fujiyoshida, Japan
  - Organizers: Shigeo Tsujii, Yoshihiro Idaware, Masao Kasahara, Kenji Koyama, Ryoko Akiyama, Hideki Imai, Toshiya Itoh, Shin-Ichi Kawamura, Naohisa Komatsu, Sadami Kurihara, Kaoro Kurosawa, Tsutomu Matsumoto, Hideo Nakano, Koji Nakao, Kazuo Ohta, Tatsuaki Okamoto, Ryoui Onda, Kazuo Takaragi, Kazue Tanaka, Atsuhiro Yamagishi
- Many other Asiacrypts in many places
- Asiacrypt 2000 -- Kyoto, Japan



# Asiacrypt '91





#### **1990s -- Differential CA**

XMD5

~ SAFER (with Lars Knudsen)

✓ McEliece PKC



#### **Related-message Attack**

We will now generalize the message-resend attack. Suppose that there are two cryptograms

$$c_1 = m_1 SGP + e_1$$
 and 
$$m_1 \neq m_2, \ e_1 \neq e_2$$
 
$$c_2 = m_2 SGP + e_2$$

and that the cryptanalyst knows a linear relation, for example  $m_1 + m_2$ , between the messages. We call this a *related-message* condition. In this case the cryptanalyst may recover the  $m_i$  from the set of  $c_i$  by doing one encoding and by then following the attack method of Section 4.1. Here are the details.

Combining the two cryptograms we get

$$c_1 + c_2 = m_1 SGP + m_2 SGP + e_1 + e_2$$

Notice that  $m_1SPG + m_2SGP = (m_1 + m_2)SGP$ , a value the cryptanalyst may calculate in a relatedmessage condition from the known relationship and the public key.

The cryptanalyst solves

$$c_1 + c_2 + (m_1 + m_2)SGP = e_1 + e_2$$

and proceeds with the attack as in Section 4.1, using  $(c_1 + c_2 + (m_1 + m_2)SGP)$  in place of  $(c_1 + c_2)$ .

#### Remark

The message-resend attack is that special case of the related-message attack where  $m_1 + m_2 = 0$ .


### 4 December 2000

- PKC accepted and in wide use
- DES secure against all but exhaustive search attack
  - Not even DCA and LCA defeated it
  - Replaced by AES
- Academic cryptography is thriving



#### 2000 -- IACR has 959 members



from 52 nations



### In the past 20 years

- Arcane to commonplace
- Difficult to easy
- Expensive to cheap



### But "everybody" still believes

- Cryptography is hard and expensive
- Mathematically complex
- Performance slow
- And designs around it



#### **Ron Rivest**



- Invented RSA 10 years too soon
- Performance was awful in 1978
- Patent expired on Sept. 20, 2000



## And that got me to thinking

- That what "everybody knows" is wrong
- And is getting more wrong real fast
- What would happen if we started knowing something different



## Trends

- Moore's Law +
- Open conferences and literature
- Textbooks and handbooks
- Internet, e-commerce, wireless
- Young people entering the field
- Rise of successful businesses
- Consumers use cryptography
- Commodification and integeration of cryptographic devices
- Easing of government regulation









### **DES speeds (Mbyte/sec)**









## Young people entering field

- 20% of attendees at Eurocrypt 2000 registered as students
- 300 people signed up for Dan Boneh's Intro to Crypto course at Stanford



# Consumers make wide use of (hidden) cryptography

- TLS (SSL) and SET protocols
- Cellular telephony
- Pay television and IPPV
- Point-of-Sale terminals



## Trends

- Moore's Law
- Open conferences and literature
- Textbooks and handbooks
- Internet, e-commerce, wireless
- Young people entering the field
- Rise of successful businesses
- Consumers use cryptography
- Commodification and integeration of cryptographic devices +
- Easing of government regulation



# Commodification of cryptographic devices

- Ex. BlueSteel Networks
  - Founded April 1999
  - To make accelerator chips
  - First chip sampled September 1999
  - Bought by Broadcom
    - November 1999 -> Mar 2000
    - Gigabit Ethernet, cable modems, set-top boxes



# Vision for 2020 -- A future of cryptographic abundance



#### a•bun•dance, n.

- 1 A great or plentiful amount; ample sufficiency; profusion; copious supply; superfluity.
- 2 Fullness to overflowing.
- 3 Wealth.
- -- strictly applicable to quantity only, but sometimes used of number.

No need to conserve



E.g., IP protocol operations

## Will it happen?

- User interface complexity?
- Key management issues?
- Government regulation?

What do you think?



# Imagine a world of abundant cryptography

- Cryptographic operations fast, plentiful
- Encapsulated and hidden from users



# What would things be like in that world?





# **Scientific questions**

- Complexity theory
- Information theory



# **Engineering tradeoffs**

- Ecology
- Systems engineering
  - Architectural decisions (Show cryptoserver)
  - Key management
- Protocols
- Infrastructure
- Algorithms



# Cryptography as a network service





# **PARC Cryptoserver**

- Network-based cryptographic operations
  - Trust model issues
- >10,000 1024-bit modular exponentiations/sec by December 2001
- We expect 10Gb/sec Ethernet soon after
- At these speeds cryptography is no longer the bottleneck



# Complexities of key management must be hidden from the user

- Perhaps even at the expense of security
- PKI acceptance is an Human-Computer Interfacr (HCI) issue
- It's about usability
- Cryptography needs to disappear into the infrastructure



# **Engineering tradeoffs**

- Systems engineering
  - Architectural decisions
  - Key management
- Protocols
- Infrastructure
- Algorithms



# **Per-tree pricing protocols**

- Privacy scales nearly linearly with computational burden
- Charge per-tree
- Examples:
  - Private information retrieval [Chor et al.][Cachin]
  - Group authentication [Chaum, van Heist] [CDS]
  - Mix networks [Chaum][Jakobsson]



# **Engineering tradeoffs**

- Systems engineering
  - Architectural decisions
  - Key management
- Protocols
- Infrastructure
- Algorithms



# **Social implications**

- Membranes and sinews
- Privacy
- Work practices
- Communities
  - Big
  - Dispersed
  - Frothy



## Ad hoc networking

- Blue Tooth (of course)
- Casual LAN (CLANS)
  - Wearable computers
  - Modular robotics









#### New businesses possible

- Enable the access economy
  - (markets, property) => (networks, access)
- New business models
- New industries



### **Economic consequences**

- Access economy [Herb Simon]
- Authentic experience
- Digital property rights
- Monetary dematerialization
- Attack vs. defense
  - Implications for SIGINT and surveillance
  - Military implications



## **Political implications**

- Changing role of government
- Information warfare



#### What next?

- Does this make sense to you?
- Can you use it in your work?
- Go forth and spread the word





#### 2020 - Cryptography Everywhere





#### berson@anagram.com

