Unaligned Rebound Attack Application to KECCAK

## Alexandre Duc <sup>1</sup>, Jian Guo <sup>2</sup>, Thomas Peyrin <sup>3</sup> and Lei Wei <sup>3</sup>

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Institute for Infocomm Research, Singapore <sup>3</sup> Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

### 21 March 2012





<span id="page-0-0"></span>

## The SHA-3 Competition

- Most standardized hash functions suffer from attacks
- NIST launched a SHA-3 competition
- December 2010: five finalists selected: BLAKE, Grøstl, JH, KECCAK, Skein
- None of them is broken yet  $\rightarrow$  Important to perform cryptanalysis on them
- <span id="page-1-0"></span>• We focus on KECCAK (designed by Bertoni, Daemen, Peeters and Van Assche)

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Outline**









### Our Goals

- Hard to find collision or preimage attacks
- We look for differential distinguishers
- on reduced-round versions of the internal permutation used in KECCAK (KECCAK-*f*)
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>• The Sponge proof relies on the fact that the internal permutation is ideal

# Previous Cryptanalysis Results on KECCAK

So far, the results on KECCAK are the following:

- **J.-P. Aumasson and W. Meier (2009)**: Zero-sum distinguishers up to 16 rounds of KECCAK-*f*[1600].
- **P. Morawiecki and M. Srebrny (2010)**: Preimage attack using SAT solvers on up to 3 rounds of KECCAK.
- **D. J. Bernstein (2010)**:

A second-preimage attack on 8 rounds with high complexity.

• **C. Boura** *et al.* **(2010-2011)**:

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Zero-sum partitions distinguishers to the full 24-round version of KECCAK-*f*[1600].

#### • **M. Naya-Plasencia** *et al.* **(2011)** : Practical attacks on a small number of rounds.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Outline**

**[Introduction](#page-1-0)** 



- [Differential Path Search](#page-15-0)
- [The Rebound Attack](#page-24-0)
- 5 [Results and Further Work](#page-42-0)

# The Sponge Construction

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

### The KECCAK-*f* State

- The *b* bit KECCAK-*f* state: a  $5 \times 5 \times 2^{\ell}$  bit array
- 7 versions of KECCAK-*f*: *<sup>ℓ</sup>* <sup>=</sup> <sup>0</sup>*, . . . ,* 6 named KECCAK-*f*[*b*]

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

# The KECCAK-*f* Internal Permutation

- *<sup>b</sup>*-bit KECCAK **round permutation** *<sup>R</sup><sup>r</sup>* applied on *<sup>n</sup><sup>r</sup>* rounds
- $n_r = 12 + 2\ell$
- 24 rounds for KECCAK-*f*[1600]
- *<sup>R</sup><sup>r</sup>* is divided into 5 substeps
- <span id="page-8-0"></span> $\bullet$   $R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta$

# The *θ* Permutation

$$
R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta
$$

### The *θ* permutation

Linear mapping that provides a high level of diffusion

$$
a[x][y][z] \leftarrow a[x][y][z] + \sum_{i=0}^{4} a[x-1][i][z] + \sum_{i=0}^{4} a[x+1][i][z-1].
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

# The *ρ* Permutation

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta
$$

#### The *ρ* permutation

Linear mapping that provides inter-slice diffusion. Each lane is rotated by a constant depending on *x* and *y*



# The *π* Permutation

$$
R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta
$$

#### The *π* permutation

Rotation within a slice. Breaks column alignment.

Bit at position 
$$
(x', y')
$$
 is moved to  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix}$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

# The *χ* Permutation

$$
R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta
$$

The *χ* permutation

Only non-linear layer

 $s=5\times2^\ell$  Sboxes (one per row)

$$
a[x] \leftarrow a[x] + ((\neg a[x+1]) \wedge a[x+2])
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

# The *ι<sup>r</sup>* Permutation

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
R_r = \iota_r \circ \chi \circ \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta
$$

- Depends on the round number
- Addition of round constants to the first lane *<sup>a</sup>*[0][0][*.*]
- Breaks the symmetry of the rounds
- For differential cryptanalysis we ignore it

### Summary

- We have one linear layer  $\rightarrow \lambda := \pi \circ \rho \circ \theta$
- One non-linear layer *<sup>χ</sup>*
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>• One round constant layer that we ignore *<sup>ι</sup><sup>r</sup>*

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>**Outline**



### **K[ECCAK](#page-5-0)**



#### **[The Rebound Attack](#page-24-0)**



# Diffusion in KECCAK

- Diffusion comes mostly from *<sup>θ</sup>*
- *<sup>π</sup>* and *<sup>ρ</sup>* move bits around
- $\bullet$  *χ* has a very slow diffusion



#### Diffusion of *θ* (at most 11 new active bits)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

# Diffusion in KECCAK

- Diffusion comes mostly from *<sup>θ</sup>*
- *<sup>π</sup>* and *<sup>ρ</sup>* move bits around
- $\bullet$  *χ* has a very slow diffusion



Diffusion of  $\theta^{-1}$  (half of the bits are active in average)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

# The Column-Parity Kernel

$$
\theta: \quad a[x][y][z] \leftarrow a[x][y][z] + \sum_{i=0}^{4} a[x-1][i][z] + \sum_{i=0}^{4} a[x+1][i][z-1].
$$

Even number of active bits in every column → no diffusion through *θ* 



<span id="page-18-0"></span>We call the set of such states the column-parity kernel (CPK)

# Path Search Algorithm

$$
a_0 \stackrel{\lambda^{-1}}{\longleftarrow} b_0 \stackrel{\chi^{-1}}{\longleftarrow} a_1 \stackrel{\lambda}{\rightarrow} b_1 \stackrel{\chi}{\rightarrow} a_2 \stackrel{\lambda}{\rightarrow} b_2 \stackrel{\chi}{\rightarrow} a_3 \stackrel{\lambda}{\rightarrow} b_3 \cdots
$$

- We start with random state in the CPK with <sup>≤</sup> *<sup>k</sup>* active columns
- We compute forward taking random "best" slice transition
- By "best", we mean a transition that maximizes the number of columns with even parity and with lowest Hamming weight
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>• If path has best DP : one round backwards

### Differential paths results on KECCAK





Three round paths with  $2^{-32}$  are best we can hope (see next talk)

• Path with 2−<sup>709</sup> was independently improved by M. Naya-Plasencia *et al.* to 2−<sup>510</sup> .

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

# Simple Distinguishers

Easy distinguisher: fixed input/output difference

Generic complexity

Mapping a fixed input/output difference: 2 *b*

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

# Simple Distinguishers

#### One free round: choose value for each of the Sboxes  $\rightarrow$ Use freedom degrees

Generic complexity

Mapping a fixed input/output difference: 2 *b*

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

# Simple Distinguishers

Map a set of input differences to a set of output differences:

#### Generic complexity

Limited birthday distinguisher (Gilbert and Peyrin):

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\max\left\{\min\left\{\sqrt{2^b/\Gamma^\text{in}}, \sqrt{2^b/\Gamma^\text{out}}\right\}, \frac{2^b}{\Gamma^\text{in}\times\Gamma^\text{out}}\right\}
$$



# <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Outline**

- **[Introduction](#page-1-0)**
- **K[ECCAK](#page-5-0)**
- [Differential Path Search](#page-15-0)
- [The Rebound Attack](#page-24-0)
- 5 [Results and Further Work](#page-42-0)

- Proposed first by Mendel *et al.* in 2009.
- We divide the rounds into three parts

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

- Proposed first by Mendel *et al.* in 2009.
- Inbound Phase: find matching differences with probability  $p_{match}$ . Usually all Sboxes active in the middle

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

- Proposed first by Mendel *et al.* in 2009.
- Outbound Phase: generate *N*<sub>match</sub> values from this match and propagate backward and forward with probability  $p_B$  and  $p_F$

<span id="page-27-0"></span>

### Rebound Attack is Hard on KECCAK

- We tried to apply the rebound directly with the 4-round path  $\rightarrow$  Would give 9 rounds with complexity  $<$  2 $^{512}$
- *Not enough differential paths* to perform the inbound
- <sup>K</sup>ECCAK has *weak alignment*: impossible to exploit truncated differentials or Super-Sboxes
- DDT: *fixed input difference* <sup>→</sup> all possible output differences occur with same probability
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>• Number of possible output differences depends strongly on the *Hamming weight of the input*

### Forward Paths

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

### Backward Paths

- We need *enough differential paths* for the inbound.
- <span id="page-30-0"></span>• We need *differential paths with good DP* for the outbound.



#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>We start in the CPK with X active columns and 2 active bits each



#### <span id="page-32-0"></span>We let the differences spread in the first round  $\rightarrow$  Round for free



We keep the paths with at most one active bit per Sbox.

<span id="page-33-0"></span>



<span id="page-34-0"></span>If HW=1 at input of Sbox, there always exists an output difference with  $HW=1$  and two differences with  $HW=2$ . We select  $k \, 1 \mapsto 2$  transitions. Remaining transitions :  $1 \mapsto 1$ 



#### Expansion through *θ*  $\rightarrow$  Much more active bits.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>



#### We keep the paths that have a "good" DP

<span id="page-36-0"></span>



#### We want all Sboxes active to simplify analysis

<span id="page-37-0"></span>

# Inbound Complexity

- We need to compute the probability of having a match  $p_{\text{match}}$  for the inbound
- We could use the average probability that a transition is possible
- Incorrect in practice
- Depends on the input Hamming weight: 4/31 for Hw  $= 1$ , 16/31 for Hw  $= 4$
- <span id="page-38-0"></span>• Separation into Hamming weight classes: for every possible input Hamming weight, we compute the probability of a match

# Outbound Complexity Problems

- We need to compute the number of values N<sub>match</sub> we can generate from a match
- Same idea
- Number of solutions *decreases exponentially* with the Hamming weight
- Probability of having a match *increases exponentially*
- <span id="page-39-0"></span>Average number of solutions not possible: we expect only one match

# Outbound Complexity

- We call  $N_w$  the expected number of solutions when the input Hamming weight is *w*
- Same analysis (we consider all Hamming weight distributions)
- We select a *<sup>w</sup>*max: *highest Hamming weight we can afford*
- $\bullet$  *N*<sub>match</sub>  $> N_{W_{\text{max}}}$
- <span id="page-40-0"></span>• We need to update  $p_{match}$ : a match occur only below  $w_{max}$

# Finding Parameters

- We need to set X, k and the bound on the DP  $p_B$  for the backward paths
- With the best parameters we found, we get

Complexity of 2491*.*<sup>47</sup> for 8 rounds (4 forward, 3 backward, 1 inbound)

<span id="page-41-0"></span>Generic complexity is  $\geq 2^{1057.6}$ .

# <span id="page-42-0"></span>**Outline**

- **[Introduction](#page-1-0)**
- **K[ECCAK](#page-5-0)**
- [Differential Path Search](#page-15-0)
- **[The Rebound Attack](#page-24-0)**



### Overall Results

Table: Best differential distinguishers complexities for each version of KECCAK internal permutations, for 4 up to 8 rounds



Our model and our method have been **verified in practice** on KECCAK-*f*[100]

We obtained a 6 round rebound attack with complexity 228*.*<sup>76</sup>

<span id="page-43-0"></span>

## Further Work

### **Use the differential path search algorithm** for

• the **collision/preimage** <sup>K</sup>ECCAK **"crunchy" challenges**:  $\rightarrow$  We found collisions for 1 and 2-round challenges

### • **differential distinguisher on the hash function**

<span id="page-44-0"></span>**Analyze other functions** with our framework

### Thank You!



<span id="page-45-0"></span>