

Higher-Order Masking Schemes for S-boxes

Matthieu Rivain

Joint work with

C. Carlet, L. Goubin, E. Prouff and M. Quisquater

FSE 2012

Washington DC, 21st March 2012

CRYPTOEXPERTS 

WE INNOVATE TO SECURE YOUR BUSINESS

Outline

- 1 ■ Introduction
- 2 ■ Higher-Order Masking of any S-box
 - General Method
 - Optimal Masking of Power Functions
 - Efficient Heuristics for Random S-Boxes
- 3 ■ Implementation Results
- 4 ■ Open Issues

Higher-Order Masking

- Countermeasure to side-channel attacks

Higher-Order Masking

- Countermeasure to side-channel attacks
- Every key-dependent variable x is shared into $d + 1$ variables:

$$x = x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_d$$

Higher-Order Masking

- Countermeasure to side-channel attacks
- Every key-dependent variable x is shared into $d + 1$ variables:

$$x = x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_d$$

- In this work, $+$ is the bitwise addition

Higher-Order Masking

- Countermeasure to side-channel attacks
- Every key-dependent variable x is shared into $d + 1$ variables:

$$x = x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_d$$

- In this work, $+$ is the bitwise addition
- Attack complexity increases exponentially with d

Higher-Order Masking Schemes

- Consider a block cipher:

$$c \leftarrow E(m, k)$$

Higher-Order Masking Schemes

- Consider a block cipher:

$$c \leftarrow E(m, k)$$

- A d th-order masking scheme for E is an algorithm:

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) \leftarrow E'((m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d), (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d))$$

Higher-Order Masking Schemes

- Consider a block cipher:

$$c \leftarrow E(m, k)$$

- A d th-order masking scheme for E is an algorithm:

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) \leftarrow E'((m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d), (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d))$$

- *d th-order security* :

$$\forall (iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d) \in \{\text{intermediate var. of } E'\}^d :$$

$$\text{MI}((iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d), (m, k)) = 0$$

Higher-Order Masking Schemes

- Consider a block cipher:

$$c \leftarrow E(m, k)$$

- A d th-order masking scheme for E is an algorithm:

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_d) \leftarrow E'((m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d), (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d))$$

- *d th-order security* :

$$\forall (iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d) \in \{\text{intermediate var. of } E'\}^d :$$

$$MI((iv_1, iv_2, \dots, iv_d), (m, k)) = 0$$

- The main issue is **masking the S-box**

Literature

- Software masking schemes:

	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	any d
AES	Many works	x	[RP10,KHL11,GPQ11]
any s-box	Many works	[SP06,RDP08]	This work

[SP06] = [Schramm-Paar CT-RSA'06]

[RPD08] = [Rivain-Dottax-Prouff FSE'08]

[RP10] = [Rivain-Prouff CHES'10]

[KHL11] = [Kim-Hong-Lim CHES'11]

[GPQ11] = [Genelle-Prouff-Quisquater CHES'11]

Literature

- Software masking schemes:

	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	any d
AES	Many works	x	[RP10,KHL11,GPQ11]
any s-box	Many works	[SP06,RDP08]	This work

[SP06] = [Schramm-Paar CT-RSA'06]

[RPD08] = [Rivain-Dottax-Prouff FSE'08]

[RP10] = [Rivain-Prouff CHES'10]

[KHL11] = [Kim-Hong-Lim CHES'11]

[GPQ11] = [Genelle-Prouff-Quisquater CHES'11]

- Hardware masking schemes:

- ▶ $d = 1 \Rightarrow$ many works

Literature

- Software masking schemes:

	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	any d
AES	Many works	x	[RP10,KHL11,GPQ11]
any s-box	Many works	[SP06,RDP08]	This work

[SP06] = [Schramm-Paar CT-RSA'06]

[RPD08] = [Rivain-Dottax-Prouff FSE'08]

[RP10] = [Rivain-Prouff CHES'10]

[KHL11] = [Kim-Hong-Lim CHES'11]

[GPQ11] = [Genelle-Prouff-Quisquater CHES'11]

- Hardware masking schemes:

- ▶ $d = 1 \Rightarrow$ many works
- ▶ [Ishai-Sahai-Wagner CRYPTO'03]
 - any circuit, any order d

Literature

- Software masking schemes:

	$d = 1$	$d = 2$	any d
AES	Many works	x	[RP10,KHL11,GPQ11]
any s-box	Many works	[SP06,RDP08]	This work

[SP06] = [Schramm-Paar CT-RSA'06]

[RPD08] = [Rivain-Dottax-Prouff FSE'08]

[RP10] = [Rivain-Prouff CHES'10]

[KHL11] = [Kim-Hong-Lim CHES'11]

[GPQ11] = [Genelle-Prouff-Quisquater CHES'11]

- Hardware masking schemes:

- ▶ $d = 1 \Rightarrow$ many works
- ▶ [Ishai-Sahai-Wagner CRYPTO'03]
 - any circuit, any order d
- ▶ [Faust *et al.* EUROCRYPT'10]
 - generalization to further security models

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner (ISW) Scheme

- Probing model: intermediate variable = wire
- Any circuits composed of NOT and AND gates

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner (ISW) Scheme

- Probing model: intermediate variable = wire
- Any circuits composed of NOT and AND gates
- NOT gate encoding:

$$\text{NOT}(x) = \text{NOT}(x_0) \oplus x_1 \cdots \oplus x_d$$

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner (ISW) Scheme

- Probing model: intermediate variable = wire
- Any circuits composed of NOT and AND gates
- NOT gate encoding:

$$\text{NOT}(x) = \text{NOT}(x_0) \oplus x_1 \cdots \oplus x_d$$

- AND gate encoding:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{AND}(x, y) = xy &= \left(\bigoplus_i x_i\right) \left(\bigoplus_j y_j\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i,j} x_i y_j = \bigoplus_i z_i\end{aligned}$$

Ishai-Sahai-Wagner (ISW) Scheme

- Probing model: intermediate variable = wire
- Any circuits composed of NOT and AND gates
- NOT gate encoding:

$$\text{NOT}(x) = \text{NOT}(x_0) \oplus x_1 \cdots \oplus x_d$$

- AND gate encoding:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{AND}(x, y) = xy &= \left(\bigoplus_i x_i\right) \left(\bigoplus_j y_j\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i,j} x_i y_j = \bigoplus_i z_i\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $(d+1)^2$ ANDs + $2d(d+1)$ XORs
+ $d(d+1)/2$ random bits

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]
- AES S-box: $S = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Af}$
 - ▶ Af: affine transformation over $\text{GF}(2)^8$
 - ▶ Exp : $x \mapsto x^{254}$ over $\text{GF}(2^8)$

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]
- AES S-box: $S = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Af}$
 - ▶ Af: affine transformation over $\text{GF}(2)^8$
 - ▶ Exp : $x \mapsto x^{254}$ over $\text{GF}(2^8)$
- Masking Af is efficient:
$$\text{Af}(x) = \text{Af}(x_0) + \text{Af}(x_1) + \dots + \text{Af}(x_d) \quad (+0x63 \text{ iff } d \text{ is odd})$$

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]
- AES S-box: $S = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Af}$
 - ▶ Af: affine transformation over $\text{GF}(2)^8$
 - ▶ Exp : $x \mapsto x^{2^{254}}$ over $\text{GF}(2^8)$
- Masking Af is efficient:
$$\text{Af}(x) = \text{Af}(x_0) + \text{Af}(x_1) + \dots + \text{Af}(x_d) \quad (+0x63 \text{ iff } d \text{ is odd})$$
- Masking Exp
 - ▶ masked square: $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = x^2$

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]
- AES S-box: $S = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Af}$
 - ▶ Af: affine transformation over $\text{GF}(2)^8$
 - ▶ Exp : $x \mapsto x^{2^{54}}$ over $\text{GF}(2^8)$
- Masking Af is efficient:
$$\text{Af}(x) = \text{Af}(x_0) + \text{Af}(x_1) + \dots + \text{Af}(x_d) \quad (+0x63 \text{ iff } d \text{ is odd})$$
- Masking Exp
 - ▶ masked square: $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = x^2$
 - ▶ masked multiplications : ISW on $\text{GF}(2^8)$

Application to AES in Software

- [Rivain-Prouff CHES 2010]
- AES S-box: $S = \text{Exp} \circ \text{Af}$
 - ▶ Af: affine transformation over $\text{GF}(2)^8$
 - ▶ Exp : $x \mapsto x^{254}$ over $\text{GF}(2^8)$
- Masking Af is efficient:
$$\text{Af}(x) = \text{Af}(x_0) + \text{Af}(x_1) + \dots + \text{Af}(x_d) \quad (+0x63 \text{ iff } d \text{ is odd})$$
- Masking Exp
 - ▶ masked square: $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = x^2$
 - ▶ masked multiplications : ISW on $\text{GF}(2^8)$
 - ▶ addition chain for 254 with only 4 multiplications (and 7 squares)

Outline

- 1 ■ Introduction
- 2 ■ Higher-Order Masking of any S-box
 - General Method
 - Optimal Masking of Power Functions
 - Efficient Heuristics for Random S-Boxes
- 3 ■ Implementation Results
- 4 ■ Open Issues

General Method

- Generalization of Rivain-Prouff scheme

General Method

- Generalization of Rivain-Prouff scheme
- We consider an s-box $S : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ as a polynomial function over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$$

General Method

- Generalization of Rivain-Prouff scheme
- We consider an s-box $S : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ as a polynomial function over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$$

- We evaluate this polynomial on the shared input $(x_i)_i$

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:
 1. additions
 2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
 3. squares
 4. regular multiplications

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:
 1. additions
 2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
 3. squares
 4. regular multiplications
- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:
 1. additions
 2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
 3. squares
 4. regular multiplications
- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds
 - ▶ $(x + y) = (x_0 + y_0) + (x_1 + y_1) + \dots + (x_d + y_d)$

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:

1. additions
2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
3. squares
4. regular multiplications

- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds

- ▶ $(x + y) = (x_0 + y_0) + (x_1 + y_1) + \dots + (x_d + y_d)$
- ▶ $x^2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:

1. additions
2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
3. squares
4. regular multiplications

- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds

- ▶ $(x + y) = (x_0 + y_0) + (x_1 + y_1) + \dots + (x_d + y_d)$
- ▶ $x^2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$
- ▶ $a \cdot x = a \cdot x_0 + a \cdot x_1 + \dots + a \cdot x_d$

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:
 1. additions
 2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
 3. squares
 4. regular multiplications \Rightarrow *nonlinear multiplications*
- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds
 - ▶ $(x + y) = (x_0 + y_0) + (x_1 + y_1) + \dots + (x_d + y_d)$
 - ▶ $x^2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$
 - ▶ $a \cdot x = a \cdot x_0 + a \cdot x_1 + \dots + a \cdot x_d$

General Method

- Four kinds of operations over $\text{GF}(2^n)$:
 1. additions
 2. scalar multiplications (*i.e.* by constants)
 3. squares
 4. regular multiplications \Rightarrow *nonlinear multiplications*
- Masking is efficient for the 3 first kinds
 - ▶ $(x + y) = (x_0 + y_0) + (x_1 + y_1) + \dots + (x_d + y_d)$
 - ▶ $x^2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$
 - ▶ $a \cdot x = a \cdot x_0 + a \cdot x_1 + \dots + a \cdot x_d$
- nonlinear multiplication masked with ISW scheme

Masking Complexity

- Masking an operation $\in \{\text{addition, square, scalar mult.}\}$
 - $\Rightarrow d + 1$ operations
- Masking a nonlinear multiplication
 - $\Rightarrow (d + 1)^2$ mult. + $2d(d + 1)$ add. + $nd(d + 1)/2$ random bits

Masking Complexity

- Masking an operation $\in \{\text{addition, square, scalar mult.}\}$
 - $\Rightarrow d + 1$ operations
- Masking a nonlinear multiplication
 - $\Rightarrow (d + 1)^2$ mult. + $2d(d + 1)$ add. + $nd(d + 1)/2$ random bits

Definition

The *masking complexity* of a (n, m) s-box is the minimal number of nonlinear multiplications required to evaluate its polynomial representation over $\text{GF}(2^n)$.

Straightforward schemes

- Goal: evaluate $S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$
- first solution :
 - ▶ compute $S(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(\dots)))$
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^n - 2$ nonlinear multiplications

Straightforward schemes

- Goal: evaluate $S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$
- first solution :
 - ▶ compute $S(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(\dots)))$
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^n - 2$ nonlinear multiplications
- second solution :
 - ▶ first compute x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots then evaluate $S(x)$
 - ▶ $x^j \leftarrow (x^{j/2})^2$ when j even, $x^j \leftarrow x \cdot x^{j-1}$ when j odd
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^{n-1} - 1$ nonlinear multiplications

Straightforward schemes

- Goal: evaluate $S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$
- first solution :
 - ▶ compute $S(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(\dots)))$
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^n - 2$ nonlinear multiplications
- second solution :
 - ▶ first compute x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots then evaluate $S(x)$
 - ▶ $x^j \leftarrow (x^{j/2})^2$ when j even, $x^j \leftarrow x \cdot x^{j-1}$ when j odd
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^{n-1} - 1$ nonlinear multiplications
- Can we do better ?

Straightforward schemes

- Goal: evaluate $S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$
- first solution :
 - ▶ compute $S(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(\dots)))$
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^n - 2$ nonlinear multiplications
- second solution :
 - ▶ first compute x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots then evaluate $S(x)$
 - ▶ $x^j \leftarrow (x^{j/2})^2$ when j even, $x^j \leftarrow x \cdot x^{j-1}$ when j odd
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^{n-1} - 1$ nonlinear multiplications
- Can we do better ? **YES, WE CAN !**

Straightforward schemes

- Goal: evaluate $S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$
- first solution :
 - ▶ compute $S(x) = a_0 + x(a_1 + x(a_2 + x(\dots)))$
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^n - 2$ nonlinear multiplications
- second solution :
 - ▶ first compute x^2, x^3, x^4, \dots then evaluate $S(x)$
 - ▶ $x^j \leftarrow (x^{j/2})^2$ when j even, $x^j \leftarrow x \cdot x^{j-1}$ when j odd
 - ▶ $\Rightarrow 2^{n-1} - 1$ nonlinear multiplications
- Can we do better ? **YES, WE CAN !**
 - ▶ Optimal methods for power functions
 - ▶ Efficient heuristic for the general case

Outline

- 1 ■ Introduction
- 2 ■ Higher-Order Masking of any S-box
 - General Method
 - Optimal Masking of Power Functions
 - Efficient Heuristics for Random S-Boxes
- 3 ■ Implementation Results
- 4 ■ Open Issues

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

Problem

For a given $\alpha \in [1; 2^n - 1]$ compute x^α with the least number of nonlinear multiplications.

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

Problem

For a given $\alpha \in [1; 2^n - 1]$ compute x^α with the least number of nonlinear multiplications.



Problem

Find the shortest 2-addition chain for α (modulo $2^n - 1$).

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$
 - etc.

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$
 - etc.
 - ▶ with 2 nonlinear multiplications
 - $x^7 = x^3 \cdot x^4$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$
 - etc.
 - ▶ with 2 nonlinear multiplications
 - $x^7 = x^3 \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{14}, x^{28}, \dots$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$
 - etc.
 - ▶ with 2 nonlinear multiplications
 - $x^7 = x^3 \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{14}, x^{28}, \dots$
 - $x^{11} = x^3 \cdot x^8$

Optimal Masking of Power Functions

- *Cyclotomic class of α* : $C_\alpha = \{\alpha \cdot 2^j \bmod (2^n - 1); j \leq n\}$
- If $\beta \in C_\alpha$ ($\Leftrightarrow C_\beta = C_\alpha$)
 - ▶ x^α can be computed from x^β with 0 nonlinear multiplication
 - ▶ x^α and x^β have the same masking complexity
- Exhaustive search for best 2-addition chains
 - ▶ $x \rightarrow x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots$ (0 nonlinear multiplications)
 - ▶ with 1 nonlinear multiplication
 - $x^3 = x \cdot x^2 \rightarrow x^6, x^{12}, x^{24}, \dots$
 - $x^5 = x \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{10}, x^{20}, x^{40}, \dots$
 - etc.
 - ▶ with 2 nonlinear multiplications
 - $x^7 = x^3 \cdot x^4 \rightarrow x^{14}, x^{28}, \dots$
 - $x^{11} = x^3 \cdot x^8 \rightarrow x^{22}, x^{44}, \dots$
 - etc.

k	Cyclotomic classes in \mathcal{M}_k^n
	$n = 4$
0	$C_0 = \{0\}, C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$
1	$C_3 = \{3, 6, 12, 9\}, C_5 = \{5, 10\}$
2	$C_7 = \{7, 14, 13, 11\}$
	$n = 6$
0	$C_0 = \{0\}, C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32\}$
1	$C_3 = \{3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 33\}, C_5 = \{5, 10, 20, 40, 17, 34\}, C_9 = \{9, 18, 36\}$
2	$C_7 = \{7, 14, 28, 56, 49, 35\}, C_{11} = \{11, 22, 44, 25, 50, 37\}, C_{13} = \{13, 26, 52, 41, 19, 38\},$ $C_{15} = \{15, 30, 29, 27, 23\}, C_{21} = \{21, 42\}, C_{27} = \{27, 54, 45\}$
3	$C_{23} = \{23, 46, 29, 58, 53, 43\}, C_{31} = \{31, 62, 61, 59, 55, 47\}$
	$n = 8$
0	$C_0 = \{0\}, C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128\}$
1	$C_3 = \{3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, 192, 129\}, C_5 = \{5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 65, 130\},$ $C_9 = \{9, 18, 36, 72, 144, 33, 66, 132\}, C_{17} = \{17, 34, 68, 136\}$
2	$C_7 = \{7, 14, 28, 56, 112, 224, 193, 131\}, C_{11} = \{11, 22, 44, 88, 176, 97, 194, 133\},$ $C_{13} = \{13, 26, 52, 104, 208, 161, 67, 134\}, C_{15} = \{15, 30, 60, 120, 240, 225, 195, 135\},$ $C_{19} = \{19, 38, 76, 152, 49, 98, 196, 137\}, C_{21} = \{21, 42, 84, 168, 81, 162, 69, 138\},$ $C_{25} = \{25, 50, 100, 200, 145, 35, 70, 140\}, C_{27} = \{27, 54, 108, 216, 177, 99, 198, 141\},$ $C_{37} = \{37, 74, 148, 41, 82, 164, 73, 146\}, C_{45} = \{45, 90, 180, 105, 210, 165, 75, 150\},$ $C_{51} = \{51, 102, 204, 153\}, C_{85} = \{85, 170\}$
3	$C_{23} = \{23, 46, 92, 184, 113, 226, 197, 139\}, C_{29} = \{29, 58, 116, 232, 209, 163, 71, 142\},$ $C_{31} = \{31, 62, 124, 248, 241, 227, 199, 143\}, C_{39} = \{39, 78, 156, 57, 114, 228, 201, 147\},$ $C_{43} = \{43, 86, 172, 89, 178, 101, 202, 149\}, C_{47} = \{47, 94, 188, 121, 242, 229, 203, 151\},$ $C_{53} = \{53, 106, 212, 169, 83, 166, 77, 154\}, C_{55} = \{55, 110, 220, 185, 115, 230, 205, 155\},$ $C_{59} = \{59, 118, 236, 217, 179, 103, 206, 157\}, C_{61} = \{61, 122, 244, 233, 211, 167, 79, 158\},$ $C_{63} = \{63, 126, 252, 249, 243, 231, 207, 159\}, C_{87} = \{87, 174, 93, 186, 117, 234, 213, 171\},$ $C_{91} = \{91, 182, 109, 218, 181, 107, 214, 173\}, C_{95} = \{95, 190, 125, 250, 245, 235, 215, 175\},$ $C_{111} = \{111, 222, 189, 123, 246, 237, 219, 183\}, C_{119} = \{119, 238, 221, 187\}$
4	$C_{127} = \{127, 254, 253, 251, 247, 239, 223, 191\}$

Outline

- 1 ■ Introduction
- 2 ■ Higher-Order Masking of any S-box
 - General Method
 - Optimal Masking of Power Functions
 - Efficient Heuristics for Random S-Boxes
- 3 ■ Implementation Results
- 4 ■ Open Issues

Cyclotomic Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ &\quad + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots \\ &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_3x^3 + a_6x^6 + a_{12}x^{12} + a_{24}x^{24} + \dots \\ &\quad + a_5x^5 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{20}x^{20} + a_{40}x^{40} + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ &\quad + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots \\ &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_3x^3 + a_6(x^3)^2 + a_{12}(x^3)^4 + a_{24}(x^3)^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_5x^5 + a_{10}(x^5)^2 + a_{20}(x^5)^4 + a_{40}(x^5)^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Cyclotomic Method

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ &\quad + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots \\ &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_3x^3 + a_6(x^3)^2 + a_{12}(x^3)^4 + a_{24}(x^3)^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_5x^5 + a_{10}(x^5)^2 + a_{20}(x^5)^4 + a_{40}(x^5)^8 + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= a_0 + L_1(x) + L_3(x^3) + L_5(x^5) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ $L_1(X) = a_1X + a_2X^2 + a_4X^4 + a_8X^8 + \dots$
- ▶ $L_3(X) = a_3X + a_6X^2 + a_{12}X^4 + a_{24}X^8 + \dots$
- ▶ $L_5(X) = a_5X + a_{10}X^2 + a_{20}X^4 + a_{40}X^8 + \dots$
- ▶ ...

Cyclotomic Method

1. Compute one power per cyclotomic class x, x^3, x^5, x^7, \dots

Cyclotomic Method

1. Compute one power per cyclotomic class x, x^3, x^5, x^7, \dots
2. Evaluate the corresponding linearized polynomials $L_1(x), L_3(x^3), L_5(x^5), L_7(x^7), \dots$

Cyclotomic Method

1. Compute one power per cyclotomic class x, x^3, x^5, x^7, \dots
2. Evaluate the corresponding linearized polynomials $L_1(x), L_3(x^3), L_5(x^5), L_7(x^7), \dots$
3. Compute the sum
$$S(x) = a_0 + L_1(x) + L_3(x^3) + L_5(x^5) + L_7(x^7) + \dots$$

Cyclotomic Method

1. Compute one power per cyclotomic class x, x^3, x^5, x^7, \dots
2. Evaluate the corresponding linearized polynomials $L_1(x), L_3(x^3), L_5(x^5), L_7(x^7), \dots$

3. Compute the sum

$$S(x) = a_0 + L_1(x) + L_3(x^3) + L_5(x^5) + L_7(x^7) + \dots$$

Number of nonlinear multiplication

=

$\#\{\text{cyclotomic classes}\} \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1)$

Cyclotomic Method

1. Compute one power per cyclotomic class x, x^3, x^5, x^7, \dots
2. Evaluate the corresponding linearized polynomials $L_1(x), L_3(x^3), L_5(x^5), L_7(x^7), \dots$

3. Compute the sum

$$S(x) = a_0 + L_1(x) + L_3(x^3) + L_5(x^5) + L_7(x^7) + \dots$$

Number of nonlinear multiplication

=

$\#\{\text{cyclotomic classes}\} \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1)$

n	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
# nlm	1	3	5	11	17	33	53	105

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_7x^7 \\ + a_8x^8 + a_9x^9 + a_{10}x^{10} + a_{11}x^{11} + a_{12}x^{12} + \dots$$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_6x^6 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ a_1x + a_3x^3 + a_5x^5 + a_7x^7 + a_9x^9 + \dots$$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_6x^6 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ (a_1 + a_3x^2 + a_5x^4 + a_7x^6 + a_9x^8 + \dots) \cdot x$$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_2x^2 + a_4x^4 + a_6x^6 + a_8x^8 + \dots \\ (a_1 + a_3x^2 + a_5x^4 + a_7x^6 + a_9x^8 + \dots) \cdot x$$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_2X + a_4X^2 + a_6X^3 + a_8X^4 + \dots \\ (a_1 + a_3X + a_5X^2 + a_7X^3 + a_9X^4 + \dots) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^2$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_2X + a_4X^2 + a_6X^3 + a_8X^4 + \dots \\ (a_1 + a_3X + a_5X^2 + a_7X^3 + a_9X^4 + \dots) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^2$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X^2 + a_8X^4 + \dots + a_2X + a_6X^3 + \dots \\ (a_1 + a_5X^2 + a_9X^4 + \dots + a_3x^2 + a_7X^3 + \dots) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^2$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X^2 + a_8X^4 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X^2 + \dots) \cdot X + \\ (a_1 + a_5X^2 + a_9X^4 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X^2 + \dots) \cdot X) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^2$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1+2

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4x^4 + a_8x^8 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6x^4 + \dots) \cdot x^2 + (a_1 + a_5x^4 + a_9x^8 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7x^4 + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1+2

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x \\ \text{where } X = x^4$$

- Nonlinear mult. : 1+2

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^4$

- Nonlinear mult. : $1+2+\dots+2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^4$

- Nonlinear mult. : $1+2+\dots+2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$
- and the evaluation of 2^{r+1} polynomials in $X = x^{2^r}$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^4$

- Nonlinear mult. : $1+2+\dots+2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$
- and the evaluation of 2^{r+1} polynomials in $X = x^{2^r}$
 - ▶ we derive X^j for $j < 2^{n-r}$

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^4$

- Nonlinear mult. : $1+2+\dots+2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$
- and the evaluation of 2^{r+1} polynomials in $X = x^{2^r}$
 - ▶ we derive X^j for $j < 2^{n-r}$
 - ▶ $2^{n-r-1} - 1$ nonlinear mult.

Parity-Split Method

$$S(x) = a_0 + a_4X + a_8X^2 + \dots + (a_2 + a_6X + \dots) \cdot x^2 + \\ (a_1 + a_5X + a_9X^2 + \dots + (a_3 + a_7X + \dots) \cdot x^2) \cdot x$$

where $X = x^4$

- Nonlinear mult. : $1+2+\dots+2^{r-1} = 2^r - 1$
- and the evaluation of 2^{r+1} polynomials in $X = x^{2^r}$
 - ▶ we derive X^j for $j < 2^{n-r}$
 - ▶ $2^{n-r-1} - 1$ nonlinear mult.

$\Rightarrow 2^{n-r-1} + 2^r - 2$ nonlinear mult.

Comparison

Number of nonlinear multiplications w.r.t. the evaluation method

Method \ n	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Cyclotomic	1	3	5	11	17	33	53	105
Parity-Split	2	4	6	10	14	22	30	46

Comparison

Number of nonlinear multiplications w.r.t. the evaluation method

Method \ n	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Cyclotomic	1	3	5	11	17	33	53	105
Parity-Split	2	4	6	10	14	22	30	46

- For PRESENT ($n = 4$), we shall prefer the cyclotomic method
- For DES ($n = 6$), we shall prefer the parity-split method

Implementation Results

Method		Reference	cycles	RAM (bytes)
Second Order Masking				
1.	AES s-box	[RP10]	832	18
2.	AES s-box	[KHL11]	594	24
3.	DES s-box	Simple version in [RDP08]	1045	69
4.	DES s-box	Improved version in [RDP08]	652	39
5.	DES s-box	new scheme	7000	78
6.	PRESENT s-box	Simple Version [RDP08]	277	21
7.	PRESENT s-box	Improved Version [RDP08]	284	15
8.	PRESENT s-box	new scheme	400	31
Third Order Masking				
1.	AES s-box	[RP10]	1905	28
2.	AES s-box	[KHL11]	965	38
3.	DES s-box	new scheme	10500	108
4.	PRESENT s-box	new scheme	630	44

Open Issues

- Find more efficient methods for random s-boxes

Open Issues

- Find more efficient methods for random s-boxes
- Find faster scheme for specific s-boxes
 - ▶ e.g. DES s-boxes

Open Issues

- Find more efficient methods for random s-boxes
- Find faster scheme for specific s-boxes
 - ▶ e.g. DES s-boxes
- Extend the approach to smaller fields
 - ▶ Mult. on $GF(2^4)$ more efficient than on $GF(2^8)$ in software
 - ▶ Hardware masking complexity related to mult. on $GF(2)$

Open Issues

- Find more efficient methods for random s-boxes
- Find faster scheme for specific s-boxes
 - ▶ e.g. DES s-boxes
- Extend the approach to smaller fields
 - ▶ Mult. on $GF(2^4)$ more efficient than on $GF(2^8)$ in software
 - ▶ Hardware masking complexity related to mult. on $GF(2)$

Open Issues

- Find more efficient methods for random s-boxes
- Find faster scheme for specific s-boxes
 - ▶ e.g. DES s-boxes
- Extend the approach to smaller fields
 - ▶ Mult. on $GF(2^4)$ more efficient than on $GF(2^8)$ in software
 - ▶ Hardware masking complexity related to mult. on $GF(2)$
- Find families of s-boxes with good cryptographic criteria and small masking complexity