New Preimage Attacks Against Reduced SHA-1

Simon Knellwolf ETH Zurich and FHNW, Switzerland

Dmitry Khovratovich Microsoft Research Redmond, USA

CRYPTO, Santa Barbara, August 2012

Secure Hash Algorithm SHA-1

Input: $< 2^{64}$ bits

Output: 160 bits

Basic security requirements:

- collision resistance,
- preimage resistance,
- second-preimage resistance.

- Specified by U.S. National Security Agency in 1995.
- Collision attacks by Wang, Yin, and Yu (CRYPTO 2005).
- Still widely used and believed preimage resistant.

Preimage Resistance

Challenge: Given H , find M such that SHA- $1(M) = H$.

Brute-force: 2^{160} trials in average.

Preimage attack $=$ a technique that is faster than brute-force.

Attacks Against Reduced SHA-1

Full SHA-1 has 80 steps.

Attacks Against Reduced SHA-1

Full SHA-1 has 80 steps.

Technical contribution:

Differential perspective on meet-in-the-middle attacks.

Davies-Meyer Compression Function

SHA-1 is a Merkle-Damgård construction with a Davies-Meyer compression function.

Message is padded and split into 512-bit blocks: $M_1||\ldots||M_\ell.$

 $E: \{0,1\}^{512} \times \{0,1\}^{160} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{160}$ is a block cipher.

Davies-Meyer Compression Function

For one-block messages:

 $E: \{0,1\}^{512} \times \{0,1\}^{160} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{160}$ is a block cipher.

Differential Meet-in-the-Middle: Requirements

Separate E into two parts:

Plan: M is a preimage $\Leftrightarrow E_1(M, \mathsf{IV}) = E_2^{-1}(M, H - \mathsf{IV}).$

Difficulty: M cannot be split into separate inputs to E_1 and E_2 .

Differential Meet-in-the-Middle: Requirements

Find differential (δ_1, Δ_1) such that for all M:

Interpretation: Δ_1 "corrects" the effects of δ_1 in E_1 .

Differential Meet-in-the-Middle: Requirements

Analogously, find differential (δ_2, Δ_2) such that for all M:

Interpretation: Δ_2 "corrects" the effects of δ_2 in E_2^{-1} .

 $\Leftrightarrow M$ is a preimage.

 $\Leftrightarrow M \oplus \delta_1$ is a preimage.

 $\Leftrightarrow M \oplus \delta_2$ is a preimage.

 $\Leftrightarrow M \oplus \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2$ is a preimage.

Four messages tested at the cost of two: M, $M \oplus \delta_1$, $M \oplus \delta_2$ and $M \oplus \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2$.

Four messages tested at the cost of two: M, $M \oplus \delta_1$, $M \oplus \delta_2$ and $M \oplus \delta_1 \oplus \delta_2$.

In general: use 2^d differentials in both directions $\Rightarrow 2^{2d}$ messages tested at the cost of $2^d.$

Using Truncated and Probabilistic Differentials

Find differentials (δ_1, Δ_1) such that for all M:

Using Truncated and Probabilistic Differentials

Find differentials (δ_1, Δ_1) such that for many M:

Analogously, find differentials (δ_2, Δ_2) in the backward direction.

 \Rightarrow More rounds can be attacked, but errors increase the cost.

Finding Suitable Differentials for SHA-1

SHA-1 has a GF(2)-linear message expansion:

- Some "obvious" candidates for δ_1 and δ_2 can be derived by linear algebra.
- The corresponding Δ_1 and Δ_2 are obtained by linearization (cf. collision attacks).
- Among all the candidates the best configuration is chosen experimentally.

Finding Suitable Differentials for SHA-1

SHA-1 has a GF(2)-linear message expansion:

- Some "obvious" candidates for δ_1 and δ_2 can be derived by linear algebra.
- The corresponding Δ_1 and Δ_2 are obtained by linearization (cf. collision attacks).
- Among all the candidates the best configuration is chosen experimentally.

Dealing with the padding:

- Padding rule restricts the choice of δ_1 and δ_2 .
- A dedicated two-block approach circumvents the restriction.

Illustration of Results for SHA-1

